



#### **Diffuse soil contamination in Flanders**

Griet Van Gestel, OVAM, Policy Coordinator, Dorien Gorteman, Arcadis, Projectleader and Karen Van Geert, Arcadis, Project Manager







### Diffuse soil contamination in Flanders

Griet van Gestel – Soil Management Department - OVAM Dorien Gorteman – Arcadis

ENSOr5 – Brussels – 14-15<sup>th</sup> March 2024

ß



#### Diffuse soil contamination is high on the agenda

Why?

#### → Risks for health of humans & ecosystems



#### Policy & legislation on soil contamination



In Flanders: **Soil Decree** (1995) – based on '*polluter pays*' principle

The focus is on contamination ~ well-known point sources / risk activities

 $\rightarrow$  **Diffuse soil contamination** is not well covered

<u>What is it?</u> (working definition)

#### Diffuse soil contamination

≈ by **small activities** in the past

≈ from dispersed sources: atmospheric deposition, agricultural inputs, floods, ...
 ≈ covering large areas, difficult to demarcate, ...



Policy & legislation on soil contamination



#### Diffuse soil contamination causes problems in practice - legal uncertainties & unexpected costs, ...

- excavation works

- soil investigations





#### **Content**

Introduction - Timeline

- 1. Results from an inventory study
- **2.** Translation into policy proposals
- **3.** Measuring campaign roads and railways
- 4. Maps & tools

Conclusions - Way forward



## Introduction Timeline

#### What have we done sofar?





# 1. Inventory study

#### **Overview**



A policy study with 4 parts:

#### 1. inventory all existing data:

- possible sources / substances of diffuse contamination
- available measurement data
- supporting data (e.g. emission data, cartographic data)
- 2. estimate the potential impact
- 3. overview of European policies
- 4. proposal for a policy in Flanders, including a prioritization





1. Sources and substances

confirmed relation (in literature)

possible relation

no relation





			and	construction materials and			
	Natural sources	Transport	farming	infrastructure	Households and services	Industry	Energy waste other
substances	weathering of minerals and ores volcanic eruptions space dust and meteorites sweetening of groundwater raw fossil fuels wildfires	brogenic dot specified run off (recycled) car tyres leaded petrol exhaust fumes brakes brakes shipping aviations aviations railways	agrochemicals animal anure fertilizer compost/vegetable fertillizers	egeneral Building shells roofing coatings (production of) paint embankments pipes foundation of rouds concrete and cement wood preservation	synthetic turf fields greenmaintenance private use of pesticides woodstoves and backyoard barrel woodstoves and backyoard barrel ucoal ashes utensils electical apiliances plastics personal care products crematoria	recycling of batteries metallurgic industry galvanisation scrap-processing general industry bair cutting plants tair cutting plants for cutting pl	production of pesticides burning of fosisl fuels electrical installations solar cells waste incineration waste water andfills/illegal dumping sites irrigation or fertilizing with waste fires fires hunting shooting ranges and military areas
metals							
РАН							
Mineral oil							
Pesticides							
РСРР							
PCDD and PCDF							
PCB's							
Flame retardants							
Plasticizers	1						
PFAS				· · · · · · ·			
Asbestos							
nano- en microparticles							
Radionuclides							

#### 2. Measurement data

- $\rightarrow$  Direct data
  - × Database soil studies, groundwater monitoring, scientific studies
- → Indirect data
  - × International studies, studies about fertilizers, surface and wastewater, biota, air, humane biomonitoring,
- $\rightarrow$  Maps
  - × Flanders: Spatial Model, use of agricultural land, railroads,...
  - × Emission data: environmental reports, studies about tier wear, antibiotics,..



ARCADIS

helaium24.e

- 3. Qualitative assessment of impact
- ▶ Qualitative impact: Large moderate –limited

#### • Criteria:

- $\rightarrow$  Expected soil/groundwater concentrations
- $\rightarrow$  Potential affected area
- $\rightarrow$  Potential effects of substances
- $\rightarrow$  Potential exposure of receptors
- Sources divided into categories:

transportation agriculture and livestock Building materials/ infrastructure households and services industry energy waste secondary sources

• For each source: in which area could we expect an impact of which substance?



Primary source Pathways Suspected areas Secondary sources	Primary source	Pathways	Suspected areas	Secondary sources
---	----------------	----------	-----------------	-------------------

Primary source	Pathways	Suspected areas
solid waste		
T		
transport		
agriculture		
infrastructure and building materials		
households		
Industry		
energy		
others		







3. Qualitative assessment of impact



belgium24.eu

#### Conceptual site model for each category - e.g. transport



3. Qualitative assessment of impact

Table with detailed information for each category



Suspected area	Substance	es i	Most importan sources	nt	Impact	М	otivation	Possib uncei	le gaps or rtainties	Possibilities for supporting evidence of the impact
	Suspected area	Substance	Most important s sources	Impact	Motivation		Possible gaps or uncertainties	Possibilities for supporting evidence of the impact		
	Building surroundings	Heavy metals PCB	Paint (lead), gutters (zinc, copper) seals	Moderate moderate	Multiple references in the covering, but rather a loo building Multiple references in th concentrations up to 34	e literature. Flanders cal problem, near the e literature, mg/kg dw, Rather local	Difficult to determine exactly which buildings are suspect. Rather considered Flanders-wide Difficult to determine exactly which buildings are suspect. Rather consider Elanders wide	<ul> <li>Further delineation of suspected buildings based on year of construction. More construction.</li> </ul>		
		Asbestos	Roofs and exterior cladding (slates)	moderate	drip zones - known prob local effect	lems	Difficult to determine which buildings are suspect. Materials containing asbestos have not been inventoried centrally.	should no longer contain asbestos, lead-based paint or PCBs.		
		Plasticizers	Plastic joinery and roofing	Limited	Theoretical link, emission estimated to be low	ns from plasticizers are	Difficult to determine exactly which buildings are suspect. No measurement data	<ul> <li>Ongoing study mapping asbestos roofs</li> </ul>		
		Flame retardants	Plastic joinery and roofing	limited	Theoretical link, emission are estimated to be low	is for flame retardants	Difficult to determine exactly which buildings are suspect. No measurement data	<ul> <li>Analysing data available data gardens (zonderr is gezonder project)</li> </ul>		
	Vicinity of solar cells	metal/meta nanoparticl es	al Run-off/weathering of solar panels	limited	If there is an impact: rath	ner local.	very little measurement data, no link confirmation for the heavy metals.	/		
	Area around high voltage pylons	Zinc	High voltage pylon	Moderate	Literature data range fro Across Flanders, but rath	om 200 to 17,400 mg/kg er local at the pylons.	; Measurement data in literature are quite old (1980s).	/		
	Area around fences	Heavy metals	Metal or galvanized fences	limited	Across Flanders, but expe at the posts/fence	ected impact very local	Difficult to locate	/		he





ARCADIS

belaium24.eu

Summary qualitative assesment of impact (theoretical)



## 2. Translation into

## policy proposals



制

#### **Policy**



#### <u>3 pillars for improvement/optimisation</u>

- 1. Gain more insight in sources and substances causing diffuse soil pollution
- Improve data accessibility: use existing data more efficient
- Use and expand existing monitoring networks
  - Groundwater monitoring networks
  - Surface water monitoring
  - Sediment monitoring
- Optimise use of existing management and control systems
  - Reuse of sediments, soil, manure, compost,... on land
- Specific measuring campaigns
  - Usefulness of a soil monitoring network?
  - Validation of impacts, evolution, etc







#### <u>3 pillars for improvement/optimisation</u>

#### 2. Fill gaps in instruments of the existing policy

- Evaluate the need for specific legislation/guidelines/investigation and remediation strategies to deal with diffuse pollution (versus point source pollution)
- Optimise existing instruments to deal with diffuse pollution (f.e. Soil Certificate)
- 3. Raise awareness and inform citizens on diffuse pollution







Aim of the new policy:

- provide essential information to owner / user / buyer / ... to verify that a site is fit for the intended use
- provide tools / solutions to deal with diffuse soil contamination (risk management and awareness raising)



#### **Policy**



#### Different scenarios for implementation Workshops with stakeholders Resulting in roadmap, including prioritization







#### <u>Focus</u>

- Identification of "diffuse polluted soils" (= soils with potential presence of diffuse pollution)
- Optimisation or clarification of existing procedures and guidelines to include the investigation of diffuse pollution (f.e. atmospheric deposition)
- Thematic approach
  - Prioritize themes (source categories/sectors)
    - sensitive uses (residential, recreational, agricultural)
  - Develop specific strategies per theme
  - Area oriented approach (f.e. municipality, railways,...) (versus parcel approach)





## 3. Measuring

## campaign roads and railways

#### **Exploratory measuring campaign**



#### Aim of the study

- Gain more insight in diffuse contamination along roads and railroads
  - Do we find diffuse contamination?
  - For which compounds including emerging contaminants (microplastics, tire additives,...)?
  - How far from the road/railroad?
  - How deep?
- Estimate the extent of the problem and impacted areas



#### **Exploratory measuring campaign**



#### Method

- Literature review and review of existing data
  - Identify relevant compounds and their importance including emerging contaminants
  - Identify pathways to soil
  - Analytical options (lab)
- Drafting a sampling plan
  - Select compounds and sampling locations
  - 10-15 locations next to highways, main roads and railroads
- Sampling and analysis
- Evaluation of results



# 4. Maps& tools

#### Maps & applications for diffuse soil contamination

Development of a tool, using Spatial Model Flanders



→ translating data into maps, + zones of influence  $\rightarrow$  how to combine different layers:

≠ parameters

≠ sources





#### $\rightarrow$ refine impact analysis

e.g. transport: population hotspots <10m away from roads



#### Maps & applications for diffuse soil contamination

Workshop 4:

"From point measurements to regional assessment: using spatial analysis to map diffuse pollution"



# Conclus Sons Way forward

#### Conclusions – Way forward



#### We need a policy on diffuse soil contamination

(1) because of **risks** for human health & the environment(2) **to solve difficulties** in the management of contaminated sites

(3) to **prevent** more diffuse soil contamination in the future → awareness raising & more carefully use of substances

#### For 'legacy' of diffuse soil contamination

#### $\rightarrow$ avoiding risks and further spreading

information & recommendations, maps & tools, ...

→ **remediation** in case of unacceptable risks in balance with protection of soil health

ightarrow (co-)fundings systems to distribute costs fairly







## Thank you!





#### **Diffuse soil contamination in Flanders**

Griet Van Gestel, OVAM, Policy Coordinator, Dorien Gorteman, Arcadis, Projectleader and Karen Van Geert, Arcadis, Project Manager

